# THE EFFECT OF USING INFORMATION GAP ACTIVITIES ON STUDENTS' SPEAKING SKILL AT THE EIGHTH GRADE OF SMPN 3 RAMBAH

# **A THESIS**

Intended To Fulfill One of the Requirements

for the Award Sarjana Degree

in English Study Program



BY:

SUCI AMELIA NIM. 1632018

ENGLISH STUDY PROGRAM

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

UNIVERSITY OF PASIR PENGARAIAN

2020

# DEAN'S APPROVAL SHEET

The Dean of FKIP certifies that

SUCI AMELIA NIM. 1632018

Has Completely Taken

The Final Examination for Sarjana Degree
in English Study Program
on Thursday, June 30th 2020

The Dean

NIDN. 0017078503

# ADVISORS' APPROVAL SHEET

This is to certify that

SUCI AMELIA NIM. 1632018

Has Completely Taken

The Final Examination for Sarjana Degree
in English Study Program
on Thursday, June 30<sup>th</sup> 2020

Approved by:

Advisor I

BATDAL NIATI, M.Pd NIDN. 1015018703 Advisor I

ANDRI DONAL, M.Po NIDN. 0009127906

The Head of English Study Program

FKIP

BATDAL NIATI, M.Pd NIDN. 1015018703

# **EXAMINERS' APPROVAL SHEET**

This is to certify that

# SUCI AMELIA NIM. 1632018

Has been Examined by the Examination Committee of the English Study

Program of FKIP UPP for Sarjana Degree in English Study Program

on Thursday, June 30th 2020

**Examination Committee:** 

BATDAL NIATL MPd

NIDN. 1015018703 Chairman

ANDRI DONAL, M.Pd

NIDN. 0009127906

Secretary

EVI KASYULITA, M.Pd

NIDN. 1010088701

Member

RIVI ANTONI, M.Pd

NIDN. 1003128103

Member

ERIPUDDIN, S.Hum, M.Pd

NIDN: 1001068505

Member

3Applant
Office

how

73

# **Statement of Academic Integrity**

# I. The undersigned

Name : Suci Amelia

Student Number : 1632018

Place of Birth : Sei Kijang

Date of Birth : January, 1st 1997

Program Study : English Study Program

State that the thesis I have written entitled "The Effect of Information Gap Activities on Students' Speaking Skill at the Eight Grade of SMPN 3 Rambah" as requirements for undergraduate degree in English Study Program of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of University of Pasir Pengaraian is my own work, while the material in the thesis quoted from other resources have been clearly stated based on the norms and ethics of scientific writing. However, if later discovered that all or some parts of the thesis are not my own work or I have committed plagiarism, I will take consequence my degree is removed as well as talking other sanctions according to the existing law.

The Researcher

SUCI AMELIA

NIM. 1632018

#### **ABSTRAK**

# Suci Amelia. 2020. Pengaruh Penggunaan Information Gap Activities Terhadap Kemampuan Berbicara Siswa dikelas Delapan SMPN 3 Rambah.

Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk mengatahui pengaruh dari menggunakan Information Gap Activities terhadap kemampuan berbicara siswa dikelas delapan di SMPN 3 Rambah Teknik yang digunakan dalam riset ini adalah bersifat percobaan. Sample berjumlah 20 siswa diantara kelas experimental dan kelas control. Penelitian ini menggunakan SPSS untuk menganalisis data.

Data menunjukkan bahwa nilai rata-rata post-test kelas control adalah 43.4290 dengan standar deviasi adalah 4.32903, sedangkan nilai rata-rata post-test pada kelas eksperiment adalah 48.1640 dengan standar deviasi 2.71743. Jumlah df kedua kelas adalah 18, dan t-hitung adalah 2.698 dengan sig. p.hitung adalah 0.009 dan 0.010 bandingkan dengan t-tabel 5% (0.05) = 2.1009 dan 1% (0.01) = 2.8784. Data menunjukkan bahwa t-hitung  $2.929 \le t$ -table 5% (0.05) = 2.1009 and 1% (0.01) = 2.8784. Kesimpulannya adalah  $H_0$  diterima dan  $H_1$  ditolak, tetapi ada perbedaan antara nilai kelas control dan kelas eksperiment menggunakan Information Gap Activities terhadap kemampuan berbicara siswa.

Jadi dapat disimpulkan bahwa tidak ada pengaruh yang signifikan dengan menggunakan Information Gap activities terhadap kemampuan berbicara siswa dikelas delapan SMPN 3 Rambah. Peneliti sulit untuk melakukan penelitian ini karena sekolah tidak aktif, dan proses belajar mengajar tidak efektif, dan proses belajar mengajar hanya dilakukan tiga kali dalam pertemuan online dan satu pertemuan proses belajar mengajar di rumah.

Kata kunci : Pengaruh, Kemampuan Berbicara, Information Gap Activities

#### **ABSTRACT**

# Suci Amelia. 2020. The Effect of using Information Gap Activities on Students' Speaking Skill at the Eighth Grade of SMPN 3 Rambah.

The main aim of this research were to examine the effect of using Information Gap Activities on students' speaking skill at the eighth grade of SMPN 3 Rambah. This research was experimental research. The total participant was 20 students for both of experimental class and control class. SPSS was used to analyze the data.

The data showed that the mean of post-test score in control class was 43.4290 along with the standard deviation was 4.32903, meanwhile in experiment class, the mean of post-test score was 48.1640 along with standard deviation was 2.71743. Then, df both of classes are 18, and t-value was 2.698 with sig. p-value was 0.009 and 0.010 compare with t-table 5% (0.05) = 2.1009 and 1% (0.01) = 2.8784. The data shows that t-value  $2.929 \le \text{t-table } 5\% (0.05) = 2.1009$  and 1% (0.01) = 2.8784. In conclusion H<sub>0</sub> was accepted and H<sub>1</sub> was rejected. But, there was the different score in control class and experimental class of using Information Gap Activities on Students' Speaking Skill.

Thus, it can be concluded that there was no significant effect of using Information Gap activities on students' speaking skill at the eighth grade of SMPN 3 Rambah. The researcher difficult to conducted the research because the school is not active yet. Then, the learning and teaching process was not effective, the learning and teaching material only done three in online meeting, and one meeting learning and teaching process at home.

Keyword: Effect, Speaking Skill, Information Gap Activities.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

#### Bismillahirrohmanirrohim

Alhamdulillah, in the name of Allah SWT, I would like to thanks God for giving me His guidance, ability and power to finish my thesis. The best regard is also addressed to Prophet Muhammad SAW who has brought people from the darkness to the brightness and introduced knowledge to human beings. First of all, the researcher would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to:

- 1. Dr. Adolf Bastian, M.Pd as the Rector in University of Pasir Pengaraian.
- 2. Ria Karno, S.Pd. M.Si as the Dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education.
- 3. Batdal Niati, M.Pd as the head of English Study Program.
- 4. Batdal Niati, M.Pd and Andri Donal, M.Pd as my advisors. Thanks for enhancing my spirit, giving support, a great help and contribution of knowledge to finish my thesis.
- 5. Evi Kasyulita, M.Pd, Rivi Antoni, M.Pd and Eripuddin, S.Hum, M.Pd as my examiners. Thanks for your suggestion, times, advice, guidance, and careness from the beginning until the end my study.
- 6. Batdal Niati, M.Pd, Andri Donal, M.Pd and Yesi Darmayanti, M.Pd as my raters. Thanks for helping me to check my data.
- 7. Japris S.Pd as the Head of SMPN 3 Rambah and all of English Teacher at SMPN 3 Rambah. Thank you for your help. I really appreciate it.
- 8. Special thanks and grateful to my parents, my mom Rosdia and my dad Zulkifli, S.T who already give me support, love, everything, and their best prayers for me. May Allah bless you.
- Special thanks to my beloved brothers Yogi Alexander, S.T, Aldimas Meizar and Daffa Rayandra have been giving love and supported me to complete my study.
- 10. I would like to thank to my big family, especially my grandmother. They gave support and gave their best prayers for me
- 11. Thanks to all of cousins who always support me. Especially for Berly, Erfia, Maya, Elfi and Sandy.

12. My beloved roommate Elsi Marinda and Rita Apridayati, Str.Keb. Thanks for your support, help and spirit.

13. Thanks to "Pejuang S.Pd" English Study Program ESP.16 We did it!!! Afni Desprianti, Anjar Anita Sari, Anisa Fitria Ningsih, Aulya Rahmi, Ella

Meridiana, Erdini Eka Saputi, Fitri Ani, Hesti Rahayu, Purnama Sari, Wahyu

Prima Pratama, Winda Ayu Lestari.

14. Thank you to my KKN team and PPL team. We have a lot of memories

together. Thanks for your support and your kindess.

15. Finally, thanks to the students of SMPN 3 Rambah, especially the eighth

grade students. Thank you for your participation in this research. I am really

grateful.

Pasir Pengaraian, 2020

The Researcher

# TABLE OF CONTENT

| STATEMENT OF ACADEMIC INTEGRITY                         | i   |
|---|-----|
| ABSTRAK   | ii  |
| ABSTRACK  | iii |
| ANCKNOWLEGEMENTS  | iv  |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS                                       | vi  |
| LIST OF TABLES  | ix  |
| LIST OF FIGURE  | X   |
| LIST OF APPENDICES                                      | xi  |
| CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION                                  |     |
| A. Background of the Problem                            | 1   |
| B. Setting of the Problem                               | 3   |
| C. Limitation of the Problem                            | 4   |
| D. Formulation of the Problem                           | 4   |
| E. Purpose of the Research                              | 4   |
| F. Significant of the Research                          | 4   |
| G. Definition of Key Terms                              | 5   |
| CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE                 |     |
| A. Review of Related Theories.                          | 6   |
| 1. The Nature of Speaking                               | 6   |
| 1.1 Definition of Speaking                              | 6   |
| 1.2 Types of Speaking                                   | 8   |
| 1.3 The Elements of Speaking                            | 9   |
| 1.4 Problem in Speaking                                 | 10  |
| 2. The Nature of Information Gap Activities             | 11  |
| 2.1 The Definition of Information Gap Activities        | 11  |
| 2.2 Teaching Procedure using Information Gap activities | 13  |
| 2.3 Technique of Information Gap Activities             | 13  |
| 2.4 The Effect of Information Gap Technique             | 16  |

| 2.5 Teaching Speaking using Information Gap Activities                | 17  |
|---|-----|
| B. Review of Related Findings.  | 18  |
| C. Conceptual Framework   | 21  |
| D. Hypothesis   | 23  |
| CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY                                      |     |
| A. Research Design  | 24  |
| B. Setting of the Research  | 25  |
| C. Population and Sample  | 25  |
| 1. Population   | 25  |
| 2. Sample   | 26  |
| D. Instrument of The Research   | 27  |
| E. Procedure of the Research  | 27  |
| Procedure of the research for Control Class                           | 27  |
| 1.1 Pre-Test  | 27  |
| 1.2 No Treatment (Conventional)                                       | 28  |
| 1.2 Post-Test   | 28  |
| 2. Procedure of the research for Experimental Class                   | 29  |
| 2.1 Pre-Test  | 29  |
| 2.2 Treatment (Information Gap Activities)                            | 29  |
| 2.2 Post-Test   | 29  |
| F. Technique of Collecting Data                                       | 31  |
| G. Technique of Data Analysis   | 32  |
| 1. Normality Data   | 32  |
| 2. Homogeneity Data   | 33  |
| 3. Hypothesis Test  | 33  |
| CHAPTER IV RESEARCH FINDINGS  |     |
| A. Finding  | 36  |
| B. Description of the Data  | 36  |
| C. Data Analysis  | 40  |
| 1. Normality Data of Control Class and Experimental Class in pre-test | t40 |

| 2.    | . Homogeneity Data of Control and Experimental Class in Pre-test | 42 |
|-------|--|----|
| 3.    | . The Result of Post-test score between Control and Experimental | 43 |
| 4.    | Hypothesis Test  | 44 |
| D.    | Discussion   | 45 |
| E.    | Limitation of the Research                                       | 46 |
| СНАР  | PTER V CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTION                                |    |
| A.    | Conclusions  | 48 |
| B.    | Suggestion   | 48 |
| BIBIL | OGRAPHY  |    |
| APPE  | NDICES   |    |

# LIST OF TABLE

| Table 3.1. Research Design   | 25 |
|--|----|
| Table 3.2. Population of the Research                              | 26 |
| Table 3.3.Teaching Activities in Experimental Class                | 28 |
| Table 3.4. List of Meeting in Experimental Class                   | 29 |
| Table 3.5. Teaching Activities in Experimental Class               | 30 |
| Table 3.6 Scoring Rank   | 34 |
| Table 4.1. Pre-test Scores in Control Class and Experimental Class | 37 |
| Table 4.2. Post-test Score in Control Class and Experimental Class | 37 |
| Table 4.3 Percentage of Students' Speaking skill in                |    |
| Pre-test in Control Class  | 38 |
| Table 4.4 Percentage of Students' Speaking skill in                |    |
| Post-test in Control class   | 39 |
| Table 4.5 Percentage of Students' Speaking skill in                |    |
| Pre-test in Experimental.  | 39 |
| Table 4.6 Percentage of Students' Speaking skill in                |    |
| Post-test in Experimental  | 40 |
| Table 4.7 Normality Test Data of Pre-Test                          | 41 |
| Table 4.8 Homogeneity test of pre-test score in                    |    |
| control class and experimental class                               | 42 |
| Table 4.9 The Result of Pre-test Score between                     |    |
| Control Class and Experimental Class                               | 43 |

# LIST OF FIGURE

| Figure  | 1. Conceptual Frame   | Work of the Research | 22 |
|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|----|
| 1 15u10 | 1. Conceptual I funit | Work of the Research |    |

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Pre-test

Appendix 2. Post-test

Appendix 3. Speaking Rubric Assessment

Appendix 4. Lesson Plan of Experimental Class

Appendix 5. Lesson Plan of Control Class

Appendix 6. Syllabus

Appendix 7. The Students' speaking skill in Pre-test based on three raters

Appendix 8. The Students' speaking skill in Post-test based on three raters

Appendix 9. Students' Scoring Evaluate by the Raters

Appendix 10. SPSS Calculation

Appendix 11. t-Table

Appendix 12. Script Interview

Appendix 13. Documentation